Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies
ISSN: 3080-986X (Print), ISSN: 3080-9878 (Online) | Vol. 2, No. 3, 2026

An Analysis of Gender Issues in Cinematic Texts from a Spatial Perspective

Junyi Wang

Department of Cultural Heritage: Archaeology, History of Art, Film and Music, University of Padua, Padua 35139, Italy

Abstract: This paper studies gender issues in film works from a space-time perspective. It is a conceptual and textual study that does not collect new data, samples, surveys or statistics; thus, it is not empirical. Based on the theories of space and feminist film criticism, this paper believes that cinematic space is an organised system of access, visibility, mobility, labour, safety and belonging, and is therefore not neutral. In terms of mise-en-scène, framing, editing, sound and narrative movement, different spaces can be presented for gendered characters through various shots, angles and movements in domestic interiors, streets, workplaces, thresholds, vehicles, landscapes and viewing positions. The selected films are only case studies and do not provide evidence for the generalisations of women, men, and audiences. Race, class, sexuality, and other differences in the body should not be reduced to a single concept of "gender". Spatial analysis can also be employed to reveal the reproduction of gender hierarchy and the cinematic reorganisation of agency, intimacy, spectatorship and public presence.

Keywords: Cinematic space, gender representation, feminist film theory, spatial politics, spectatorship, domestic space.

1. Introduction

Cinema builds a world through organisation of bodies, objects, architecture, movement, sound and points of view. A room may protect one person by isolating them from others; a street has many chances but also many risks, and a car can help escape but often leads to addiction. Space in a film is therefore more than background. Organise who is free to move, who needs to stay back, who should be protected, who enjoys solitude, and whose life is worth saving.

Gender is produced in these arrangements. Cinematic texts frequently link femininity and masculinity with different places, sizes of motion, types of labour and degrees of visibility. However, these links are not permanent. Films can strengthen the traditional division between home and public life, action and passivity, looking and being looked at, or they can shake up these divisions. Spatial analysis can help us learn about the connections among people and places, organisations, etc., in films and how they are presented.

How to analyse gender problems in the domestic, public, institutional, mobile and spectator spheres of this paper. Some films are selected as interpretation examples, but no representative sample of world cinema or audience data on effect has been collected. The goal is to build an all-encompassing system for studying the narration and visual language of space permissions and restrictions that considers the intersectionality of gender, race, class, sexuality, age, nationality and bodily ability.

2. Space, Gender and Film Form

Lefebvre's idea of socially produced space offers a starting point for studying film. Space is not considered empty; instead, the system connects space to the representations of space and life [1-2]. Architecture and geography in a cinematic text gain meaning through habitual movement, institutional rules, memory, fantasy and conflict. A kitchen, office, road or border is not inherently feminine or masculine; it is assigned a gender by the activities permitted in it, the people who live there, and the authorities in charge of it.

Feminist Film Theory adds the issue of visual position. Mulvey's study of classical narrative cinema shows that the concept of the gaze often connects active viewing and narrative control with masculinity, making women objects of the gaze [2]. A Spatial Approach is applied to the whole body. Camera Position, Entryways, Obstacles, Depth, Off-screen Areas and Editing can help us determine who is conducting the survey and who is in the survey. It is not that every shot is a single "male gaze", but rather that the distribution of visual power in cinema is uneven.

De Lauretis believes that gender is the result of social and representative technologies, including cinema [3]. Thus, the gender analysis will not reduce the films to reflections of existing society. Cinematic Spaces help to construct legible identities by building narrative technologies for behaviour, such as the respectable home, the dangerous street, the masculine workplace, feminised consumer interiors, and queer refuges. The characters can choose one of the above options, and the viewers will gain different insights.

Doane's study of the 1940s "women's films" shows how female desire and spectatorship can be both expressed and limited [4]. Melodramatic indoor scenes usually show strong emotions of women and restrict their life choices to family, love, or self-sacrifice. Space is not the same as agency, therefore. A character can cover most of the screen, but it does not have the power to change the environment of the room, leave the building, alter the door threshold, or tell her own story.

3. Domestic Space and Invisible Labour

Domestic Interiors are typical cases of gendered spaces in cinema. Doors, corridors, bedrooms, kitchens, windows and dining rooms can be used to create areas for privacy and service, authority and care, and rest and repetitive labour. Although home is close and safe, there are frequently variations in people's access to time, money, mobility, and solitude under this supposed unity. Research on the Distribution of Space has pointed out that the residents in an

area are responsible for its appearance but go unnoticed.

Chantal Akerman's *Jeanne Dielman, 23 Quai du Commerce, 1080 Brussels* (1975) [12] is an excellent case study. Extended, carefully framed shots of cooking, cleaning, shopping and waiting turn the daily life of the characters into the film's structure. It is neither a primary school nor a good home. The order is to live; therefore, there is a pressure to repeat, economic necessity and limited time. Since the camera does not present all activities as dramatic scenes, small changes in daily life can also be emotionally moving.

A movie can also present a different view of women's private space and men's public space. Money, sex, motherhood and commerce cross the threshold of the apartment, and domestic life is already linked to broader economic relations. Therefore, in a space reading, do not blame the home alone for the problem. One can observe the combination of architecture, schedules, gender roles and limited resources to construct an isolated world in a movie.

Intersectionality in spectatorship extends the problem of "the female viewer" to Black women's spectatorship. Hooks argues that due to exclusion and stereotyping in mainstream cinema, Black women spectators may have developed an oppositional gaze [5]. This intervention is not uniform in space; some women have achieved cinematic recognition and safety in their communities. Race and class determine who is considered to be in a "respectable domestic space", who performs the care work in that space, and whose presence is viewed with suspicion or marginalisation.

Doreen Massey also believes that space and place are the results of combined social relations rather than restricted Areas [6]. Therefore, one can consider a "cinematic home" to be a network of jobs, migration, consumption, law, public services, etc. Gendered Domesticity Should Be Examined Relationally. The same room could be a family home, a place of paid labour, someone else's dependence, or temporary accommodation for a person without the freedom to live independently.

4. Streets, Mobility and Risk

Public spaces in cinemas are often organised based on unequal mobility. Walking alone, travelling at night, sitting in a café, driving and crossing a border are all freedoms for individuals, but they are dangers for others. Context of narration and film type can be used to achieve this difference; light, sound, shot length, camera distance and editing are also employed to transform the same street into a place of exploration or observation.

Friedberg's idea of the flâneur and flâneuse connects cinema, shopping, and the new type of mobile vision [7]. The female urban observer is not merely the male flâneur in different clothes. The motions in arcades, shops and cinemas are all products of consumption, respectability, display and vulnerability. Cinema can give women visual freedom but may also turn them into visible goods. Therefore, the shop window, film frame and urban street are all sites of observation and being observed.

Thelma & Louise (1991) [14] redefines gendered mobility by using roads, cars, motels, diners and open spaces. Driving grants the protagonists temporary freedom of movement and speed, but the road is not free from legal, economic and patriarchal authority. A car is a free-moving place for people and communities; at various times, the women have been repeatedly subjected to judgment, harassment and stalking on the road. Although the scenery is free, the story will eventually limit one's freedom.

The spatial interpretation of this film should not equate movement with freedom. Mobility can carry agency without changing structural limitations. The journey of the protagonists has given new meanings to their relationships with family and society, but the reduced space around them has also shown the cost of rejecting these roles. Therefore, the final space of the film can be viewed in many ways, such as rebellion, sorrow, delay or failure to achieve a desired social goal.

Grosz's works on bodies, cities, space and time can help us explore the connection between movement and embodiment [8]. Bodies do not exist in isolation; instead, they are composed of connections with buildings, social norms, technology and other structures. Fatigue, clothing, age, disability, sexuality, race and place are also subjects of study in film analysis. Who can run, drive, linger, touch, hide or appear unremarkable in public is a question of embodied spatial politics?

5. Architecture, Institutions and Thresholds

Schools, offices, hospitals, police stations, factories and entertainment venues are also organised in terms of gender roles and hierarchy. Desks, uniforms, waiting rooms, security stations and restricted Areas are all forms of authority. Repeatedly use blocking and symmetrical composition, or show a contrast between the front-stage and back-stage, etc., in films.

The interdisciplinary collection *Gender Space Architecture* believes that built environments should be understood by means of their production, use, representation and interpretation [9]. This system is beneficial to film by combining a place with a building and pictures simultaneously. The head office of the company may be in a high-rise building with many windows and relatively remote. A person may be professionally successful but is not involved in the informal network or decision-making group.

Thresholds are particularly obvious. Doors, curtains, elevators, checkpoints and windows are all changes from public to private, allowed access or forbidden access, and visible to hidden. The Right to Cross a Threshold Without Explanation Often Signifies High Status. On the other hand, those who have to wait, ask for permission, go undercover or use service entrances are far removed from power. Editing can be used to create a continuous movement of the body and observe it while remaining still.

In *Portrait of a Lady on Fire* (2019) [13], the coastal house, cliffs, beach, studio-like rooms and concealed gathering spaces organise the relationships among the looking, artistic creation, service and female intimacy. At first, the painter needs to observe a woman without drawing attention to the portrait. As the relationship develops, there will be more mutual respect and negotiation. The movie is not oblivious to the social constraints of the setting; on the other hand, a temporary space can be used to express attention and desire in different ways outside of that place.

It is not only a problem for men and women in terms of area. Desire, Authorship, Reproduction, Class and Labour intersect in the home. The position of the servant is different from that of the painter and the woman being painted; they do not share work or knowledge. Spatial solidarity can be realised without erasing the differences in resources,

obligations and future prospects.

6. Affective Mapping and Spectatorship

Consider the Space that movies present for the audience. Bruno connects cinema with architecture, travel, maps and emotions, and explores the connections between sight and site, as well as motion and emotion [10]. Cinematic Space is presented by rhythm, texture, sound, colour, duration and memory. Gender politics may thus appear in the atmosphere before being mentioned in dialogue.

Roads, vans, seasonal workplaces, parking lots, gatherings in the desert and vast landscapes are all unstable geographies of labour and life in *Nomadland* (2020) [15]. The main character's car is his home, his means of living, and a wish for freedom. Open Spaces may be associated with freedom, loneliness, exposure and economic hardship. The themes and content for men and women's freedom and the stories of victims should not be gender-restricted.

Bruno's idea of affective mapping calls for attention to how viewers are moved in a film, rather than just examining its pictures [10]. Camera movement and editing can show near/far and hesitation or disorder. If the invitation links the audience to a character's exploration of the body or memory, it is gendered. Nevertheless, textual organisation cannot guarantee a uniform audience response. Viewing Positions are shaped by one's personal history and social position.

Both hooks' oppositional spectatorship and Doane's account of female spectatorship caution against imagining a universal viewer [4, 5]. Therefore, a space-aware critique can distinguish between the place shown in a movie and the actual location of the observer. A movie may inspire people to feel the power of observation but can also provoke resistance, irony or attention to the periphery. At the same time, works centred on women are not immune to objectification or exclusion.

Mennel's study of cities and cinema places urban film in dialogue with modernity, globalisation, national cinema, queer desire, and transnational practice [11]. The above large-scale operation can connect the private gendered space to the urban and global systems. Apartment Areas, Streets, Boundaries, Transport Systems and Screens are all connected geographies. Cinema can present the city as a place of opportunity, spectacle, danger, memory or exclusion, and these meanings are different for different people in different circumstances.

7. An Analysis Path

Accurate Space Perception Starts with Localisation and Motion Tracking. The analyst will find repeated interiors, public places, passages, boundaries and off-screen areas, then determine which characters go to these places, how long they stay there, and what results are achieved. It is qualitative text analysis, not quantitative data. A map of narrative access can show patterns not revealed in the character description [1, 6].

Next, we will study the organisation of films. Framing may isolate a character with furniture or place a character in the visual centre without a narrative reason. Camera Height, Depth of Field, Sound Perspective, Shot-Reverse Shot Patterns and Editing all affect the sense of space. Determine what the characters see, what the camera shows, and what knowledge the audience is guided to learn through analysis [2, 10].

A thirdly, examine the conditions of labour and materials. Who cleans, drives, pays for, waits for, owns or rents the environment? Which activities remain off-screen? Problems of property rights, employment, transport, childcare and safety prevent spatial criticism from being purely symbolic. They also help connect gender with class and institutional power [6][9].

The Fourth Step is Thresholds and Transformations. According to a good reading guide, a character can go to another place, leave a place, have others join, or create a new place. A temporary residence is not to be mistaken for a permanent building, and violation should not be equated with liberty. The Sense of crossing a boundary is affected by risk, time, availability of alternatives and the person's situation [3, 8].

Finally, interpretation should remain historically and culturally particular. Theories developed in specific cinematic traditions cannot be universally applied to all films. Spatial Meanings Differ Across Genres, Production Contexts, and Social Histories. Comparison can be employed to find the similarities and differences in the data set, but the results should be presented as observations based on the data rather than absolute generalisations about everyone [5, 11].

8. Summary

Space is often used in films to show relationships between people, places, organisations, movement, etc., to reveal gender. Domestic Spaces organise care and repetition; Streets distribute mobility and risk; Workplaces and institutions realise authority; Thresholds regulate permission; Landscapes and vehicles reshape the scale of agency. Frame, length, sound, editing, point of view and other film language tools can also be used.

Therefore, visibility is not power; movement does not automatically equate to freedom, and female centrality does not automatically lead to feminist spatial politics. Gendered Opportunities Differ By Race, Class, Sexual Orientation, Age, Nationality and Physical Ability. Some typical films have shown these contradictions, but there is no statistical basis, and not all cinematic traditions and audiences have been covered.

Spatial analysis can show the particular contents and material connections in space, but it cannot provide an absolute answer. It can show us the typical divisions in society and also present reciprocal gazes, shared spaces, mobile alliances, queer havens, and other alternative forms of belonging in film. Therefore, cinematic space is not only the location of gendered stories. It is one of the main ways these stories show who can look at, move in the world of, desire, and change the world presented on screen.

References

[1] Lefebvre, H. (1991). The production of space (D. Nicholson-Smith, Trans.). Blackwell. (Original work published 1974).

[2] Mulvey, L. (1975). Visual pleasure and narrative cinema. Screen, 16(3), 6–18. https://doi.org/10.1093/screen/16.3.6

[3] de Lauretis, T. (1987). Technologies of gender: Essays on theory, film, and fiction. Indiana University Press.

[4] Doane, M. A. (1987). The desire to desire: The woman’s film of the 1940s. Indiana University Press.

[5] hooks, b. (1992). The oppositional gaze: Black female spectators. In Black looks: Race and representation (pp. 115–131). South End Press.

[6] Massey, D. (1994). Space, place and gender. Polity Press.

[7] Friedberg, A. (1993). Window shopping: Cinema and the postmodern. University of California Press.

[8] Grosz, E. (1995). Space, time, and perversion: Essays on the politics of bodies. Routledge.

[9] Rendell, J., Penner, B., & Borden, I. (Eds.). (2000). Gender space architecture: An interdisciplinary introduction. Routledge.

[10] Bruno, G. (2002). Atlas of emotion: Journeys in art, architecture, and film. Verso.

[11] Mennel, B. (2008). Cities and cinema. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203015605

[12] Akerman, C. (Director). (1975). Jeanne Dielman, 23 quai du Commerce, 1080 Bruxelles [Film]. Paradise Films; Unité Trois.

[13] Sciamma, C. (Director). (2019). Portrait of a lady on fire [Film]. Lilies Films; Arte France Cinéma; Hold Up Films.

[14] Scott, R. (Director). (1991). Thelma & Louise [Film]. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer; Pathé Entertainment.

[15] Zhao, C. (Director). (2020). Nomadland [Film]. Searchlight Pictures; Highwayman Films; Hear/Say Productions; Cor Cordium Productions.